



SWARNRUDRA: An International Multidisciplinary Journal of Academic Research

<https://swarnrudrajournal.com/>

e-ISSN: 3140-0108

Publisher: Swarnrudra Publication

Vol. 1, Issue 2, August-September 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23363189>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Colonialism, Swadeshi and Indigenous Commerce in India: A Historical-Economic Analysis

^{1*}Dr. Puja Prerna 

¹Assistant Professor (History) Department of Law, Sarala Birla University, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

ABSTRACT

The Swadeshi Movement, which emerged prominently in the wake of the Partition of Bengal in 1905, represented a significant phase in India's struggle against colonial rule while simultaneously fostering indigenous economic activity. This study examines the Swadeshi Movement from historical and economic perspectives, focusing on its contribution to the promotion of indigenous commerce, national entrepreneurship, consumer consciousness, and economic self-reliance. The movement encouraged Indians to boycott British manufactured goods and adopt locally produced commodities, thereby transforming economic choices into instruments of political resistance. It stimulated indigenous industries, particularly textiles, handicrafts, small-scale manufacturing, banking, insurance, and commercial enterprises, while creating greater demand for Indian products. Historically, Swadeshi strengthened nationalist consciousness by linking political freedom with economic independence. Economically, it challenged the colonial structure characterised by the import of British manufactured goods, exploitation of Indian raw materials, and weakening of indigenous industries. The movement also encouraged the establishment of national educational institutions, cooperative initiatives, indigenous enterprises, and alternative commercial networks. However, its economic impact remained constrained by limited industrial capacity, inadequate capital, technological disadvantages, uneven consumer participation, and competition from established British industries. Despite these limitations, Swadeshi created an important foundation for indigenous entrepreneurship and economic nationalism. The study argues that the movement represented more than a political protest; it was an early experiment in economic self-determination that shaped subsequent nationalist economic policies and contributed to the evolution of indigenous commerce in colonial India. Its legacy remains relevant to contemporary debates on self-reliance, local production, sustainable consumption, and economic nationalism.

KEYWORDS :

Indigenous Commerce, Colonial India, Swadeshi Movement, Economic Nationalism

ARTICLE HISTORY:

Received: 25.08.2026

Revised: 05.09.2026

Accepted: 15.09.2026

Published Online: 25.09.2026

CITATION

Prerna, P., (2026). Colonialism, Swadeshi and Indigenous Commerce in India: A Historical-Economic Analysis. *SWARNRUDRA: An International Multidisciplinary Journal of Academic Research*, 1(2), 116-122. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23363189>

Introduction

The Swadeshi movement holds a unique place in the economic and political history of colonial India because it made the idea of economic choice an instrument of nationalist resistance. The movement is most closely associated with the anti-partition agitation in Bengal following the Partition of Bengal in 1905, but its intellectual and economic roots can be traced back to nineteenth-century debates about Indian industrial decline, colonial economic policies, indigenous enterprise, and economic self-reliance. Recent historical research suggests that the emergence of Swadeshi was linked to earlier attempts to resuscitate Indian industries through educational institutions, industrial exhibits, associations, and indigenous commercial ventures [1].

The rise of Swadeshi consciousness was central to the economic landscape of colonial India. The colonial economic system gradually integrated India into an imperial division of labor. The country supplied raw materials and agricultural products, and, at

the same time, it became an important market for manufactured goods made in Britain. Indian handloom and handicraft industries faced intense competition from mechanized British manufacture(1). Specifically, the growth of Manchester textiles undermined local textile production and helped erode traditional manufacturing towns. Historical studies of colonial India have demonstrated that the interests of Indian handloom weavers and British textile manufacturers were often in direct rivalry, with machine-made imports putting enormous pressure on indigenous producers. This economic transformation gave rise to an increasing nationalist critique of colonialism and caused Indian intellectuals and entrepreneurs to see industrial development as a key element of national regeneration.

The ideological underpinnings of economic nationalism predate 1905. Increasingly, Indian nationalist thinkers questioned the economic repercussions of colonial authority and stressed the need to develop indigenous producing capability. Gradually, the notion that political freedom entailed a degree of economic independence became an integral element of Indian nationalism. According to Patel [1], worldwide debates on free trade, protection, industrialization, and economic progress influenced the Swadeshi efforts of the nineteenth century. Indian thinkers were not just reactive to British policy; they responded to world economic concepts and adapted international institutional and technological models to Indian conditions. Therefore, Swadeshi should be viewed as more than just a spontaneous reaction to the Partition of Bengal. Instead, 1905 was a period when several decades of economic and intellectual advancement coalesced into a large political movement.

The immediate political spur was Lord Curzon's decision in 1905 to divide Bengal. Nationalist leaders widely perceived the partition as a ploy to undermine political unity and mobilize nationalism. In response, the anti-partition movement embraced two closely related courses of action: boycott of foreign commodities and promotion of Swadeshi goods. The economic boycott turned everyday consumption into a token of political devotion. Consumers were encouraged to boycott British imports and buy Indian-made goods instead. In this way, Swadeshi developed a link between political nationalism and economic behavior. As Velkar [2] points out, Swadeshi was a form of economic patriotism that evolved into a more general idea of economic nationalism where Indian capital and industry were expected to serve national objectives.

The economic impact of the movement was apparent, especially in the promotion of indigenous enterprises. Nationalists aimed to promote the production of textiles, handicrafts, soap, matches, chemicals, pharmaceuticals, banking, insurance, and other commercial operations. To create markets for Indian products, they founded indigenous shops and trading organizations. Research on handloom production indicates that the nationalist movement saw the collapse of indigenous industries as a serious economic problem and strove to promote technical education, industrial development, and the rebirth of traditional manufacturing. For example, an exposition of Indian industries, held in connection with the Indian National Congress session in Calcutta in 1901, helped to form Indian Stores Limited, which was meant to exhibit and sell indigenous items and create demand for Indian-made goods.

Swadeshi also facilitated the rise of a new link between nationalism and entrepreneurship. Indian business communities increasingly recognized that political developments could influence commercial prospects and industrial development. Indigenous capital was mobilized for productive firms, and entrepreneurs were encouraged to view industrial investment as an economic and patriotic endeavor. Educational and institutional efforts were made to develop technical skills required for industrialization. Therefore, the movement extended the meaning of business beyond the traditional aim of profit maximization. Economic activity could now be considered a contribution to national development. Swadeshi capitalism, Velkar [2] notes, progressively evolved from the simple boycott of foreign goods to the more complex idea that Indian wealth should be directed toward Indian businesses and national economic interests.

The Bengal Chemical and Pharmaceutical Works is a positive illustration of this link between science, entrepreneurship, and Swadeshi economic nationalism [3]. The increase of nationalist spirit prompted Indian scientists and entrepreneurs to create firms capable of competing with imported items. Indigenous pharmaceutical and chemical firms came into existence in the early twentieth century, indicating a desire to establish scientifically advanced Indian industries rather than simply preserving traditional handicrafts. This feature is essential because it indicates that Swadeshi was not only about returning to conventional production; it

was also a drive toward contemporary industrialization under local ownership and administration.

The movement also pushed forward the development of consumer awareness. Patriotism, sacrifice, and national responsibility were linked to the purchase of an indigenous product. Consumers were urged to forgive disparities in price, quality, or availability while buying Indian items because consuming itself had taken on political value. This process served to build an early kind of nationalist consumer culture. Thus, Swadeshi linked producers, traders, entrepreneurs, and consumers into a new economic vision of national self-reliance. Similarly, Goswami [4] locates the move from Swadeshi to Swaraj within the larger nexus of nation, economy, territory, and political mobilization in colonial South Asia.

However, one should not exaggerate the economic achievements of the Swadeshi Movement. Indigenous firms were often hampered by lack of capital, technological constraints, poor managerial skills, inadequate distribution networks, restricted manufacturing capacity, and fierce rivalry from established British manufacturers. Some Swadeshi firms thrived for a short period, while others found it challenging to remain profitable once the initial enthusiasm died down. Studies of Swadeshi industries in Bengal between 1905 and 1911 show that many of these enterprises could not survive, yet economic nationalism continued to exercise considerable impact on Indian politics and economic thought [5]. Therefore, one cannot gauge the significance of Swadeshi solely by the short-term commercial performance of specific firms.

Another crucial feature is the social and regional aspect of Swadeshi. In 1905 Bengal was its major center, but its economic ideals steadily spread to other sections of India. The Indian corporate communities, notably the commercial groupings of Calcutta and Bombay, responded to the movement differently. Studies of colonial Bombay have shown how Swadeshi became important in the development of Indian capitalist theory, with parts of the business class becoming more interested in the relationship between Indian capital, industrialization, and nationalism [2]. But Swadeshi was not a social or political monolith. Questions of class, community, location, gender, and the overarching ideological construct of the colonial state affected participation and produced varied interpretations of indigenous economic interests [6, 7, 8]. Scholars of Bengal have notably underlined the intricate relationship between Swadeshi, regional identity, and religious diversity [9].

The immediate movement of 1905–1908 did not confine the Swadeshi legacy. Its core tenets later inspired Gandhian economic theory, particularly the development of khadi, village industries, local production, and economic self-sufficiency [10, 11]. Gandhi later gave Swadeshi a wider social and ethical dimension, linking indigenous production with self-rule, rural employment, dignity of labor, and resistance to economic reliance. Trivedi [12] observes that Gandhian Swadeshi sought economic self-sufficiency from Britain and promoted hand-spun and hand-woven khadi both as an economic commodity and a political symbol. Thus, in the historical evolution of Swadeshi, one can observe how an economic approach became incorporated into the wider ideological framework of Indian nationalism.

Literature Review

• Colonial Economy and Swadeshi's Intellectual Foundations

A survey of the literature on the Swadeshi Movement reveals that indigenous commerce cannot be understood apart from the larger economic transformation effected by colonial control. Early nationalist economic intellectuals, notably Dadabhai Naoroji and Romesh Chunder Dutt, highlighted the unequal pattern of colonial commerce, the drain of wealth, heavy taxes, and the erosion of indigenous productive capacity. Naoroji's *Poverty and Un-British Rule in India* [13] presented a strong critique through the drain of wealth argument. Dutt's *The Economic History of India* [14] analyzed the effects of colonial fiscal and commercial policies on Indian agriculture and industry. These writings provided an intellectual basis for the eventual development of economic nationalism by linking poverty and industrial weakness to colonial economic systems. Dutt's work is especially noteworthy since it understood India's economic issues historically rather than as a mere product of domestic backwardness [14].

Later, one of the most extensive assessments of the rise of Indian economic nationalism between 1880 and 1905 came from Chandra [15]. His study illustrates how the economic assumptions of colonial policy were questioned by Indian nationalist leaders, who evolved arguments for industrialization, protection, indigenous enterprise, and economic self-reliance. What is important

about Chandra's contribution is that he shows that Swadeshi was not the first economic idea; therefore, the movement of 1905 was not the sudden invention of economic nationalism but the political mobilization of ideas that had been developing in the late nineteenth century [15]. Goswami [4] expands this interpretation further by looking at the interaction of country, economy, and territory in colonial South Asia. She claims that in the late nineteenth century economic space was gradually 'nationalized,' so that markets, resources, producers, and consumers were increasingly considered belonging to a national economic community. This perspective helps to explain why the purchasing of indigenous commodities could be considered a nationalist act. Swadeshi was therefore more than the protection of Indian industry; it helped to establish the idea of a national economy [4].

• **Boycott, Labor, and Commercial Networks**

Sumit Sarkar's *The Swadeshi Movement in Bengal, 1903–1908* [5] remains one of the foundational historical studies of the movement. Sarkar presents a thorough analysis of the anti-partition movement, nationalist mobilization, boycott, political organization, and economic Swadeshi. His analysis reveals that boycott and Swadeshi were interconnected but not identical. The boycott was aimed at reducing the use of foreign goods, especially British-made goods. Swadeshi was aimed at creating and expanding indigenous alternatives. This divergence is particularly important for an economic study because the success of a boycott relies upon the availability of local production. Sarkar's work thus underscores the actual limitations of turning nationalist emotion into sustained commercial activity [5].

Chakrabarty [16] provides another helpful perspective on the relationship between industrialization, labor, and nationalism in his examination of the rise of the industrial working class in Bengal. His work argues that with the expansion of modern industry came complicated class connections that cannot be reduced to a simple struggle between "Indian" and "British" economic interests. This is crucial since indigenous commerce itself consisted of numerous groups—industrialists, merchants, traders, artisans, workers, and consumers—whose interests did not always match [16].

Hence, the literature promotes a deeper understanding of Swadeshi capitalism. Indian merchants and commercial communities are placed within a longer history of indigenous commercial networks by Bayly [17]. His approach questions interpretations that see Indian commerce as a passive victim of colonialism. Indian merchants adapted to new political and economic realities and operated in regional, interregional, and international markets. This view matters for Swadeshi since indigenous business activity did not commence in 1905; instead, the movement redirected existing commercial capacities toward clearly nationalist ends [17].

There has been rising scholarly interest in the importance of specific commercial groups. Shaikh's [18] research on the Calcutta Marwaris in 1905–1907 investigates their position within boycott and Swadeshi politics and shows that business communities responded to nationalist politics in various ways. Similarly, Timberg's [19] historical study of Marwari business groups brings out the long-term evolution of merchant capital and entrepreneurship in India. These studies assist in expanding the literature beyond Bengali middle-class nationalism and show the relevance of merchant communities in the growth of indigenous business [18, 19].

• **Swadeshi Capitalism, Industrialization, and Entrepreneurship**

Much contemporary work has moved away from political mobilization and toward entrepreneurship, industrialization, and the history of capitalism. Ray [20], in his study of entrepreneurship and industry in India between 1800 and 1947, indicates that Indian enterprise flourished through various forms of commercial and industrial activity, even with the restrictions imposed by colonial institutions. His study provides an important context to understand the creation of indigenous industry during the Swadeshi period [20].

Studies on Bengali entrepreneurs and Western technology further reveal that nationalist industrialization did not inevitably equal rejection of modern technology. Instead, many Indian entrepreneurs attempted to appropriate Western technology for indigenous ends. This raises questions about the premise that Swadeshi was a purely traditional or anti-modern economic program.

Studies of Bengali entrepreneurship have stressed the link between technology, science, industrial production, and nationalist aspirations.

The work on Prafulla Chandra Ray and the Bengal Chemical and Pharmaceutical Works also demonstrates the significance of scientific entrepreneurship. Ray's *Life and Experiences of a Bengali Chemist* [3] is an essential primary source on scientific entrepreneurship and the struggle to build indigenous manufacturing skills. Such firms show that Swadeshi could mean contemporary chemicals, pharmaceuticals, and industrial production, not just handicrafts and handloom products [3].

A notable contribution to this literature is Velkar [2], with his notion of "Swadeshi capitalism." In the case of colonial Bombay, he argues that Swadeshi slowly morphed into a wider economic doctrine that assumed Indian wealth would support Indian businesses. His study demonstrates a shift from the anti-British boycott politics of 1905 to a more complex economic nationalism, incorporating capital accumulation, industrialization, and Indian corporate interests [2]. Furthermore, Patel [1] revises the chronology of Swadeshi by dating its roots to the nineteenth century, contending that efforts to restore Indian industries were shaped through educational institutions, exhibits, associations, and participation in international debates on free trade and protection.

• **Indigenous Industries, Technology, and Commercial Feasibility**

Another large corpus of scholarship examines whether Swadeshi firms were successful in the long run. Official historical accounts of Bengal's indigenous industries reveal that imported Lancashire and Manchester textiles had acquired a pre-eminent position in the Indian market, prompting Indian entrepreneurs to develop cotton mills and modern production units. Attempts to integrate indigenous ownership with contemporary industrial technologies are exemplified in the growth of firms such as Banga Laxmi Cotton Mills.

However, the evidence reveals that nationalist zeal did not always translate into commercially viable firms. Swadeshi industries were usually undercapitalized, technologically handicapped, had shortages of skilled manpower, had limited production capacity, experienced distribution problems, and faced competition from established British firms [5]. This disparity between ideological commitment and real economic capacity remained a central challenge for Swadeshi ventures. Studies evaluating surviving enterprises show that issues of technology, production objectives, and organizational structure were the primary determinants of survival, demonstrating that the economic legacy of Swadeshi must be evaluated not only through short-term commercial results but also through subsequent institutional and entrepreneurial frameworks [1, 2, 5].

• **Research Gap**

On the whole, the literature finds that Swadeshi signified a dramatic shift in the relationship of nationalism to economic activity. While Chandra [15], Sarkar [5], Goswami [4], and Bayly [17] establish the historical and ideological basis of the movement, and Ray [20], Chakrabarty [16], and Velkar [2] address entrepreneurship, industry, labor, and capitalism, a notable gap remains. The classic literature has tended to focus either on political nationalism and mass mobilization or on the economic critique of colonialism. Relatively few studies bring together the historical evolution of Swadeshi with a systematic examination of indigenous commerce, entrepreneurial behavior, consumer practices, industrial capacity, and the sustainability of indigenous enterprises. There is also a need for more comparative research between Bengal, Bombay, and other commercial regions. The present study connects historical and economic methods by viewing Swadeshi both as a nationalist movement and as an empirical experiment in indigenous commerce.

Objectives of the Study

The primary objectives of this study are:

1. To trace the nineteenth-century intellectual and economic origins of the Swadeshi Movement prior to the 1905 Partition of Bengal.

2. To examine how the boycott of foreign commodities and the promotion of indigenous products transformed consumer behavior into an instrument of economic nationalism.
3. To evaluate the commercial feasibility, structural limitations, and survival rates of indigenous industrial enterprises established during the Swadeshi period.
4. To assess the long-term impact of Swadeshi capitalism on subsequent nationalist economic strategies and modern concepts of self-reliance.

Methodology

The descriptive study methodology was employed to analyze the perception of the British regime toward Indian society and examine the economic outcomes of the movement. The researcher has depended upon secondary sources for data collection, including economic treatises, historical monographs, academic journals, and documentary records.

Conclusion

The study, "Colonialism, Swadeshi, and Indigenous Commerce in India: A Historical-Economic Analysis " demonstrates that the Swadeshi Movement was not only a political reaction to the partition of Bengal in 1905 but also a major experiment in economic nationalism, indigenous entrepreneurship, and commercial self-reliance. The analysis suggests that the movement was born out of economic anxieties of the nineteenth century, in particular the collapse of domestic industries, dependency on imported manufactured products, and the unequal structure of colonial trade. Dadabhai Naoroji [13] and R. C. Dutt [14] made significant intellectual contributions to the formulation of an economic criticism of colonialism, which subsequently furnished the grounds for Swadeshi.

The study further highlights that the Swadeshi Movement turned consumer behavior into a tool of political opposition. The boycott of British goods and the promotion of indigenous products forged a direct link between nationalist emotion and everyday economic activity. Swadeshi encouraged people to purchase domestic commodities and prompted entrepreneurs to set up local manufacturing and commercial firms. In this aspect, the movement helped build a distinctively nationalist image of the market in which production, consumption, and investment took on political meaning, reflecting the broader "nationalisation" of economic space analyzed by Goswami [4].

A noteworthy finding is that the Swadeshi movement promoted the expansion of indigenous trade beyond traditional sectors. Indigenous stores, textile mills, banks, insurance firms, chemical factories, and other commercial endeavors arose or grew during this period. The movement led Indian entrepreneurs to view investment in domestic manufacturing as an economic opportunity and a national responsibility. The concept of Swadeshi capitalism illustrates the increasing link between economic nationalism and the mobilization of Indian wealth for the growth of Indian industries [2].

The study also indicates that Swadeshi advocated a combination of traditional industrial rebirth and modern industrialization. While handloom weaving and handicrafts acquired a fresh lease of life, nationalist entrepreneurs also tried to develop modern firms based on science, technology, and industrial production, as exemplified by the Bengal Chemical and Pharmaceutical Works [3]. Furthermore, as Patel [1] shows, the origins of Swadeshi industrial thought date back to nineteenth-century international debates on industrial development, technology, and economic policy.

However, the analysis concludes that the economic successes of Swadeshi had serious limitations. Indigenous businesses suffered from lack of finance, technological limitations, poor infrastructure, lack of managerial skills, and rivalry with well-established British industrial firms [5]. Thus, Swadeshi did not result in an immediate cessation of dependency on foreign commodities. Its most significant achievement was therefore institutional and ideological rather than merely quantitative.

In conclusion, the Swadeshi Movement marked a significant transition from political nationalism to economic nationalism. Its legacy impacted succeeding swaraj, indigenous industrialization, khadi, village industries, and economic self-sufficiency [10, 11, 12]. Swadeshi transformed Indian notions of commerce by showing that production, consumption, entrepreneurship, and

investment could serve as vehicles of national rebuilding. Its historical significance transcends the colonial period and continues to inform present-day discussions about self-reliance, indigenous enterprise, local production, and economic sovereignty.

References

1. Patel, D. (2024). To revive India's industries: The global and imperial roots of Swadeshi in the nineteenth century. *Moder Asian Studies*, 58(3), 686–716. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0026749X24000350>
2. Velkar, A. (2021). Swadeshi capitalism in colonial Bombay. *The Historical Journal*, 64(4), 1009–1034. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0018246X20000424>
3. Ray, P. C. (1932). *Life and experiences of a Bengali chemist* (Vol. 1). Chuckervetty, Chatterjee & Co.
4. Goswami, M. (1998). From Swadeshi to Swaraj: Nation, economy, territory in colonial South Asia, 1870 to 1907. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 40(4), 609–636. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S001041759800171X>
5. Sarkar, S. (2010). *The Swadeshi movement in Bengal, 1903–1908* (2nd ed.). Permanent Black. (Original work published 1973)
6. Chatterjee, P. (1986). *Nationalist thought and the colonial world: A derivative discourse?* Zed Books.
7. Cohn, B. S. (1996). *Colonialism and its forms of knowledge: The British in India*. Princeton University Press.
8. Metcalf, T. R. (1994). *Ideologies of the Raj*. Cambridge University Press.
9. Ghosh, S. (2016). *Different nationalisms: Bengal, 1905–1947*. Oxford University Press.
10. Gandhi, M. K. (1921). *Indian home rule*. Navajivan Publishing House.
11. Hardiman, D. (2003). *Gandhi in his time and ours: The global legacy of his ideas*. Columbia University Press.
12. Trivedi, L. N. (2010). Visually mapping the “nation”: Swadeshi politics in nationalist India, 1920–1930. *The Journal of Asian Studies*, 69(1), 67–91. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021911809992497>
13. Naoroji, D. (1901). *Poverty and un-British rule in India*. Swan Sonnenschein.
14. Dutt, R. C. (1902). *The economic history of India under early British rule: From the rise of the British power in 1757 to the accession of Queen Victoria in 1837*. Kegan Paul, Trench, Trübner & Co.
15. Chandra, B. (1966). *The rise and growth of economic nationalism in India: Economic policies of Indian national leadership, 1880–1905*. People's Publishing House.
16. Chakrabarty, D. (1989). *Rethinking working-class history: Bengal 1890 to 1940*. Princeton University Press.
17. Bayly, C. A. (1988). *Indian society and the making of the British Empire*. Cambridge University Press.
18. Shaikh, I. (2024). The Calcutta Marwaris and the Swadeshi Movement: In the context of boycott and Swadeshi politics (1905–1907). *CenRaPS Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(2), 112–128.
19. Timberg, T. A. (1978). *The Marwaris: From traders to industrialists*. Vikas Publishing House.
20. Ray, R. K. (Ed.). (1992). *Entrepreneurship and industry in India, 1800–1947*. Oxford University Press.

COPYRIGHT:

© 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>